

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR      | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/518,825                 | 12/21/2004                        | Peter Klaus Bachmann      | DE020166US          | 3595             |
|                            | 7590 06/24/200<br>LLECTUAL PROPER | EXAMINER                  |                     |                  |
| P.O. BOX 3001              |                                   | WOLDEKIDAN, HIBRET ASNAKE |                     |                  |
| BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 |                                   | l                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                            |                                   | 2613                      |                     |                  |
|                            |                                   |                           |                     |                  |
|                            |                                   |                           | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                            |                                   |                           | 06/24/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.      | Applicant(s)    |  |
|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/518,825           | BACHMANN ET AL. |  |
| Examiner             | Art Unit        |  |
| Hibret A. Woldekidan | 2613            |  |

|   |  | Hibret A. Woldekidan   | 2613  |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress  |  |  |  |
| THE RE  | PLY FILED 05 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP   | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A   | LLOWANCE.   |   |  |  |  |
| ap<br>ap<br>for                                 | e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on plication, applicant must timely file one of the following plication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Criods:  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance   | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or                                      | hich places the (3) a Request                               |  |  |  |
| a) 🛄  | a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is la no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| have bee<br>under 37<br>set forth i<br>may redu | MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ins of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the son (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later ce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing dat | 36(a) and the appropriat<br>of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | e extension fee<br>ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |
| 2. 🔲 Th<br>filii                                | <u>OF APPEAL</u> Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp  ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the  |   |  |  |  |
| No<br><u>AMEND</u>                              | vtice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi<br>MENTS  | ithin the time period set forth in 37 (  | CFR 41.37(a).   |   |  |  |  |
| (a)<br>(b)                                      | he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo). They are not deemed to place the application in bet   | nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);  | ΓE below);  |   |  |  |  |
|   | appeal; and/or  They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |  |   | DTOL 204)   |  |  |  |
| 5.  | ne amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 7. X Fo<br>ho<br>Th<br>Cla<br>Cla<br>Cla        | n-allowable claim(s).  or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) is the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  aim(s) allowed:  aim(s) objected to:  aim(s) rejected: 1-21.  aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  | I be entered and an e:  | xplanation of   |  |  |  |
|   | VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| be  | e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bur<br>cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br>is not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| en  | e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fails   | s to provide a  |  |  |  |
|   | he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after er   | ntry is below or attach   | ed.   |  |  |  |
|   | he request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | t does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowan   | ce because:   |  |  |  |
|   | lote the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (<br>other: <u>Claim 18 requires further search</u> .   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |   |  |  |  |
|   | eth N Vanderpuye/<br>visory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2613   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |